

IASI-NG Status of development and preparatory activities by the mission team

T. Phulpin, M. Saccoccio and S. Rousseau

3rd IASI International Conference, Hyères, February 2013



- **1- IASI-NG Mission rationale and Objectives**
- **2- IASI-NG Mission requirements**
- **3- The french mission group : MENINGE**
- **4- IASI-NG feasibility studies**
- **5- Consequences of the successful IASI-NG feasibility studies**
- 6- IASI-NG Schedule





Expressed in the Position papers collected by Eumetsat

In France proposed by scientists to CNES AO for new missions in 2009

- Continuity of IASI.
 - Justified by Operational use of NWP centers, and other emerging services (MACC, VAAC), and long term trends monitoring as well.
 - \Rightarrow Same performances as IASI used as thresholds for follow-on
 - \Rightarrow Same viewing geometry required
- Improved performances to tackle issues unsolved with IASI.
 - More precise humidity profiles
 - More documented atmospheric layers near surface (BL), or around tropopause (UTLS).
 - Better use of information in cloudy conditions
 - More detailed profiles of minor components
 - Detect other species



Dominant rationale and

consequence





F: AC and climate

Balance between these applications

Priority has been given to

- Continuity (full spectrum, + imager)
- Improved performances in radiometry and spectral resolution



Lessons learned from IASI for Atmospheric Composition and Climate



- All spectrum very useful
- Other atmospheric data mandatory (cloud, temperature, humidity)
- Large swath => Very good coverage.
- Stability is very important.

No convincing products remaining tb improved
 CO₂ limited to upper troposphere
 Most of products good in upper troposphere but of coarser quality in lower troposphere or BL (e.g. O₃, CO) hampering strong development

of AQ applications

Improve NeDT and Spectral resolution would help to detect and quantify more species and also obtain profiles.



STUDIES TO JUSTIFY REQUIREMENTS



Two rounds :

- In phase 0 by CNES and french scientists,
- In phase A to consolidate the requirements (see further studies by MENINGE group)
- Main results : Resolution/2 and radiometric noise /2 >
 - Better acccuracy of T(z) in near surface layers
 - More information on CO and CH₄
 - Slight improvement on H₂O (?)

COES IASI-NG performance objective versus Post EPS MRD





3rd IASI conference; Hyères, February 2013

COES IASI-NG performance objective versus Post EPS MRD









MENINGE : A french mission team

But with links with Eumetsat, with ISSWG, with Sentinel 5 etc...

10 meetings during phase A

- Well informed of the progress
- It performed consolidation studies
 - About needs and requirements
 - About expected performances of Level 2 or + products
 - For trade-off in the requirements for Phase B
- It contributed to decision making
 - Presentation at User consultation meeting (Darmstadt)
 - Document to TOSCA

COES Impact of IASI-NG :performances at Level 2









n



COES Impact of IASI-NG :performances at Level 2 Example 2 : NH₃

Différence en température de brillance entre un spectre IASI-NG simulé sans NH, et un spectre IASI-NG simulé à partir de l'atmosphère standard en multipliant NH, par 1, 1



Courtesy : J. Hadji-Lazaro



Resources specifications for IASI-NG Phase A studies



■ MASS

• IASI = 235 kg

IASI-NG spec : 350 kg (including 20% margin)

■ VOLUME

 IASI : one sensor module + deported IMS/DPS inside the satellite ==> total = 1.7 m³

• IASI-NG spec :1500 mm x 1500 mm x 1200 mm

■ POWER (EOL):

• IASI = 240 W

+ IASI-NG spec : 500 W (including 20% margin)

TM DATA RATE:

IASI : 1.5 Mbit/s

IASI-NG spec : 6 Mbit/s

These requirements were given to 2 industrial companies for the phase A feasibility studies (competitive studies).





- Based on MRD breakthrough + additional requirements e.g. about pseudo noise.
- Pseudo noise allocation derived from IASI requirements
 - Main noise provided by detection chain (NeDT)
 - Instrument defaults (ISRF shape, centroid, PSF, ...) specified as pseudo noise
 - Each default shall not represent more than 25% of the NeDT (globally each default contribute to few percent of the global performance) for homogeneous scenes
 - Default impact only heterogeneous scene has been sized to 50% of the NeDT
- Definition of typical heterogeneous scenes
 - At sounder pixel level : two half field with 10 K difference
 - Direct impact on heterogeneous scenes (sized to 50%)
 - At instrument field of view : one sounder pixel clear, the other part of the field is cloudy
 - Potential impact on homogeneous scenes (sized to 25%)



How to improve IASI performances for IASI-NG?









o 2 competitive industrial studies started early 2010 and finished early 2012 :

- 2 x 3 instrument types first studied (all Fourier Transformed spectrometer with Field Compensation interferometers but with various types of field compensation)
- Then each company focused on its best solution (choice based on the following criteria: performances, resources, schedule, risks, costs).
- Feasibility and design optimization studies were then consolidated during
 2 years
- These deeper studies allowed to consolidate resources budgets and optimize cost evaluation
- These studies were completed by risk reduction activities on the most sensitive/innovative points (pre-development, mock-up, test, simulation...).

Both industrial concepts have been considered feasible at the end of the phase A studies, by the CNES project team and an independent review board.





- A few potential non-compliances to phase A IASI-NG Instrument requirements at the end of phase A studies have been analyzed by MENINGE group:
 - Local NeDT non compliance
 - Absolute radiometric calibration above 2400 cm⁻¹
 - Spectral/spatial co-registration requirements
 - Absolute spectral calibration

These few non-compliances at instrument level are fully acceptable at mission level.



Analysis of IASI-NG Phase A results by MENINGE group (2/3)



Résultats Etudes MENINGE (profils verticaux T, humidité et optimisation bandes B2-B3...)

CODES Trade-off for the limit B2/B3 Results from a study by MENINGE



Known lines in this spectral range?
H₂O and isotopologues, <u>NO</u>, NO₂, NH₃, PH₃, OH, <u>OCS</u>, C₂H₂, C₂H₄, HCN, COF₂

Who are the users?

Sometimes used to inverse H₂O

- What is the impact of radiometric noise? For NO (1950 cm⁻¹) signal too weak to be detectable except after averaging (=> noise lowered down). Noise can potentially impact OCS retrieval (2035-2040 cm⁻¹).
- <u>Conclusion</u>: Noise slightly above the current requirement is acceptable. It could allow a small shift of the band limit (not higher than 2030 cm⁻¹)









o Two feasible industrial solutions fully compliant with the IASI-NG mission requirements have been studied and are proposed for phase B-C-D-E.

o MENINGE group and EUMETSAT EPS-SG project confirmed the acceptability of the IASI-NG expected performances

o CNES commitment in April 2012 to provide IASI-NG instruments to EUMETSAT for the EPS-SG program

o IASI-NG ITT for B-C-D-E phases launched inJune 2012 (after an update to new interface requirements evolutions coming from ESA following the MetOp-SG PRR).

Only 2 candidates (the 2 industrial companies who worked on the IASI-NG Phase A).

o Presentation to ISSWG which will become the Mission group for IASI-NG

o Cooperation agreement between CNES and EUMETSAT prepared for signature

Selection of the best industrial proposal for B-C-D-E phases on going



IASI-NG Schedule





CORS Next steps for the project and for scientific activities



Choice of Manufacturer and concept shortly
 Start of phase B in fall 2013.

Meeting of MENINGE group
 Science plan for IASI-NG tb established by ISSWG
 Start studies of ISSWG

Final agreement btw CNES and EUMETSAT

Studies on synergy with Sentinel 5 and other instruments

■ Approval of EPS-SG programme by EUMETSAT Council mid 2014





Back-up slides

3rd IASI conference; Hyères, February 2013

¢ cnes

Temperature profile sounding



- Profile retrieval using the 15 µm and 4 µm CO₂ bands
- Tropical atmosphere
- Noise contribution from uncertainties on surface temperature and emissivity, humidity profile.
- A priori covariance from ECMWF
- With respect to a priori uncertainty, IASI-NG contribution is about twice IASI contribution



3rd IASI conference; Hyères, February 2013

Temperature profile sounding : effect of spectral resolution

Cnes





Atmospheric Atmospheric chemistry : CO profile (2140-2180 cm⁻ⁱ)^y



	MRD threshol d	MRD breakthroug h	IASI	IASI-NG reference	IASI-NG with cold bench
Spectral resolution (cm ⁻¹)	0.15	0.1	0.5	0.25	0.25
Spectral sampling (cm ⁻¹)	0.124	0.083	0.25	0.125	0.125
NeDT (K)	0.15	0.1	0.28	0.16	0.12
DOFS	2.84	3.78	1.88	2.51	2.69
Tropospheric column (0-12 km) (%)	2.14	1.95	3.39	2.24	2.15
Boundary layer (0-3 km) (%)	10.2	7.8	16.05	10.7	10.1

IASI instrument is not sufficient to provide a vertical profile (less than 2 DOFS). IASI-NG, though not meeting the level 1 requirements, is close to the threshold level 2 performances (especially for the cold bench configuration).





Atmospheric chemistry : CH₄ column (1280-1360 cm⁻¹)

	MRD threshold	MRD breakthrough	IASI	IASI- NG
Spectral resolution (cm ⁻¹)	0.3	0.15	0.4	0.2
Spectral sampling (cm ⁻¹)	0.248	0.124	0.25	0.125
NeDT (K)	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.05
Total column (%)	13.1	6.9	9.9	4.6

The CH₄ column can be retrieved with a precision in agreement with the MRD threshold (resp. breakthrough) from IASI (resp. IASI-NG) observations.

LASI-NG : radiometric and spectral improvements





3rd IASI conference; Hyères, February 2013

75 km

CORES IASI-NG : the self-apodisation issue





IASI-NG: Optical signal in the detectors plane for v=2760 cm⁻¹ and OPDmax=4 cm

The use of IASI like pixel acquisition concept is not possible. Two options has been studied.

Split the sounder pixel into many smaller pixels → <u>Matrix</u> detectors



• For each of the 9 sounding pixel, acquisition of subpixels interferograms (typically 5x5 sub-pixels), then combination of the interferograms by resampling at "constant" OPD + filtering to generate one sounding pixel interferogram

•Final Self-apodisation for the corner pixels = IASI one

Suppress/mitigate the self-apodisation effect → self-apodisation compensation

•Introduce, in the interferometer, a specific mechanism that works in synchronization with the Corner Cube Mechanism and that corrects, for each sounding pixel (but the center one), the Optical Path Difference by : $\delta_0 (1 - \cos \theta)$, where δ_0 is the OPD for a zero field \Rightarrow ALL the pixels should have a similar behavior than the central pixel

IASI-NG CNES Phase 0 Reference option

CNES IASI-NG : Self-Apodisation compensation option



Optical simulation with and without active optical field compensation



COES IASI-NG : Baseline characteristics (CNES phase 0)

- Ground Pixel diameter of 12 km (=IASI)
- Ground sampling of 25 km (both axis)
- Number of sounder pixels per acquisition = 9 (IASI=4)
- Number of earth view per line = 20 (30 IASI)
- Interferogram acquisition duration = 450 ms (IASI=150 ms)



- Focal plane :
 - 4 bands (IASI=3)
 - 9 sounder pixels per band (IASI=4)
 - PV detectors for all bands (IASI PC for B1; PV for B2/B3)
 - detectors cooled at 65 K with one active cooler (LPTC) (IASI=92 K passive)
 - IASI like cold optic concept



12 km

125 kr

75 km